Implications of Macroalgal Isolation by Distance for Networks of Marine Protected Areas

HALLEY M. S. DURRANT,* ** CHRISTOPHER P. BURRIDGE,* BRENDAN P. KELAHER,† NEVILLE S. BARRETT,‡ GRAHAM J. EDGAR,‡ AND MELINDA A. COLEMAN§

*School of Zoology, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 5, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

†National Marine Science Centre and Centre for Coastal Biogeochemistry Research, School of Environment, Science and Engineering, Southern Cross University, P.O. Box 4321, Coffs Harbour, NSW 2450, Australia

‡Institute of Marine & Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, 7001, Australia

©Department of Primary Industries, NSW Fisheries, P.O. Box 4321, National Marine Science Centre, Coffs Harbour, NSW 2450, Australia

Abstract: The global extent of macroalgal forests is declining, greatly affecting marine biodiversity at broad scales through the effects macroalgae bave on ecosystem processes, babitat provision, and food web support. Networks of marine protected areas comprise one potential tool that may safeguard gene flow among macroalgal populations in the face of increasing population fragmentation caused by pollution, babitat modification, climate change, algal barvesting, tropbic cascades, and other anthropogenic stressors. Optimal design of protected area networks requires knowledge of effective dispersal distances for a range of macroalgae. We conducted a global meta-analysis based on data in the published literature to determine the generality of relation between genetic differentiation and geographic distance among macroalgal populations. We also examined whether spatial genetic variation differed significantly with respect to bigher taxon, life bistory, and babitat characteristics. We found clear evidence of population isolation by distance across a multitude of macroalgal species. Genetic and geographic distance were positively correlated across 49 studies; a modal distance of 50-100 km maintained $F_{ST} < 0.2$. This relation was consistent for all algal divisions, life cycles, babitats, and molecular marker classes investigated. Incorporating knowledge of the spatial scales of gene flow into the design of marine protected area networks will belp moderate antbropogenic increases in population isolation and inbreeding and contribute to the resilience of macroalgal forests.

Keywords: gene flow, marine protected areas, marine reserves, population genetics

Implicaciones del Aislamiento por Distancia de Macroalgas para Redes de Áreas Marinas Protegidas

Resumen: La extensión global de los bosques de macroalgas está declinando, afectando significativamente a la biodiversidad marina en escalas amplias a través de la influencia que las macroalgas tienen sobre los procesos ecosistémicos, provisión de bábitats y soporte de las redes alimentarias. Las redes de áreas marinas protegidas son una berramienta potencial que puede asegurar el flujo génico entre poblaciones de macroalgas frente a la creciente fragmentación poblacional causada por la contaminación, la modificación del bábitat, el cambio climático, la cosecha de algas, las cascadas tróficas y otros estresantes antropogénicos. El diseño óptimo de una red de áreas protegidas requiere del conocimiento efectivo de las distancias de dispersión para un área de macroalgas. Llevamos a cabo un meta-análisis global basado en datos publicados en la literatura para determinar la generalidad de la relación entre la diferenciación genética y la distancia geográfica entre poblaciones de macroalgas. También examinamos si la variación genética espacial difiere significativamente con respecto a taxones más altos, bistoria de vida y características de bábitat. Encontramos evidencia clara del aislamiento de las poblaciones por la distancia a lo largo de una multitud de especies de macroalgas. La distancia genética y geográfica estuvo correlacionada positivamente en 49 estudios: una distancia modal de 50-100 km mantuvo una F_{ST} < 0.2. Esta relación fue constante para todas las divisiones, ciclos de vida,

**email balley.durrant@utas.edu.au Paper submitted February 6, 2013; revised manuscript accepted July 5, 2013.

438

439

bábitats y marcadores moleculares de las algas investigadas. Al incorporar escalas espaciales de flujo génico al diseño de redes de áreas marinas protegidas, ayudaremos a moderar incrementos antropogénicos en el aislamiento de la población y a moderar la endogamia, contribuyendo a la resistencia de los bosques de macroalgas.

Palabras Clave: Áreas marinas protegidas, flujo de genes, genética de poblaciones, reservas marinas

Introduction

Networks of marine protected areas (MPAs) are being established worldwide in an effort to conserve species and ecosystems that may be negatively affected by fishing and other forms of anthropogenic stress (e.g., Hoegh-Guldberg 2004; Fernandes et al. 2005; Jones et al. 2007). The central aim of establishing most networks of protected areas is to conserve marine biodiversity and ecological processes, an outcome more easily achieved via conservation of critical and important habitats, rather than directing management responses at species individually. Habitat-forming macroalgae, such as laminarians and fucaleans, comprise an important functional group that benefits from MPAs, mostly through indirect effects whereby predatory fish and lobster populations increase with restrictions on fishing and thus grazing invertebrates are suppressed, which allows recovery of macroalgal forests (Babcock et al. 2010).

Macroalgal forests in turn support high faunal biodiversity in temperate marine waters (Mann 1973). They are foundation species (Dayton 1985) and as such stabilize habitats and facilitate colonization of a variety of marine organisms by providing sanctuary from predators and otherwise harsher environmental conditions. Residing within these forests are many economically and ecologically important species that utilize these habitats as nursery grounds (Tsukidate 1984; Coleman & Williams 2002). Indeed, macroalgal forests are disproportionally important for the conservation of marine biodiversity due to the variety of species they support (Dayton 1985; Poloczanska et al. 2007; Wernberg et al. 2010), and the decline of macroalgal forests in temperate waters worldwide has ecosystem-level implications (Steneck et al. 2002; Thibaut et al. 2005; Wernberg et al. 2012). Human induced stressors, such as the harvesting of kelp and predators of grazers, bottom trawling over low-relief reef, pollution, coastal development, and climate change are some of the causes of decline of macroalgal forest (e.g., Dayton & Tegner 1984; Steneck et al. 2002; Coleman et al. 2008) and the biodiversity they support.

Conservation initiatives are increasingly designed to protect areas so that they may confer greater persistence and resilience in the face of future environmental change. These initiatives rely on the premise that decreasing anthropogenic stressors via regulations (e.g., harvesting and pollution) in MPAs will result in net reduction in the sum of threats, and fewer negative responses to stressors over which local management strategies have little control (e.g., climate change). Through such actions, MPAs may play a refugial role for marine organisms and help to maintain biodiversity and ecological processes in the face of a changing climate (IUCN World Commission on Protected Areas [IUCN-WPCA] 2008; McLeod et al. 2009).

One effect of climate change that is already noticeable is restructuring of marine communities as species begin to shift poleward with increasing ocean temperatures (Harley et al. 2006; Johnson et al. 2011). Temperate marine ecosystems, such as the macroalgal forests of Southeast Australia, are already being effected by range shifts of invasive species (e.g., the sea urchin [Centrostephanus rodgersii]) (Steneck et al. 2002). Such species are capable of decimating whole kelp communities, turning them to urchin barrens, and consequently removing the habitat that a variety of species rely upon for protection, many of which are economically important taxa (e.g., spiny rock lobster [Jasus edwardsii]) (Mislan & Babcock 2008). Protecting marine areas mitigates stressors such as recreational activities, pollution, and fishing, resulting in increased population persistence and resilience with climate change within MPAs (Micheli et al. 2012).

An important role of MPAs in an era of changing climate is to ensure long-term maintenance of connectivity among populations (Salm et al. 2006; Almany et al. 2009). Marine park planners should include provision for adequate and ongoing gene flow of key species in the face of increasing anthropogenic stress and habitat fragmentation. Thus, spacing and location of MPAs should reflect the dispersal capabilities of key species (e.g., Roberts et al. 2003; Shanks et al. 2003; Almany et al. 2009), such that connectivity and genetic diversity between reserves is maintained. Species dispersal capabilities have been used to help determine MPA spacing across a variety of species (Sala et al. 2002; Shanks et al. 2003; Palumbi 2004).

Dispersal capabilities of macroalgae are variable and difficult to assess directly due to microscopic propagules and multiple life history stages (Kusumo et al. 2006). Past research has suggested poor dispersal capabilities due to the small size of propagules and the short time they remain in the water column. Most macroalgal propagules apparently remain suspended in the water column for a few hours to a week, whereas marine animal larvae can survive weeks to months (e.g., fish) (Santelices 1990; Reed et al. 1992). Gene flow among macroalgal populations can also potentially be influenced by a variety of factors such as life cycle (e.g., Loveless & Hamrick 1984; Palumbi 1994; Coleman & Brawley 2005) and habitat (Billot et al. 2003; Kelly & Palumbi 2010).

Most insights into macroalgal dispersal capabilities have been derived from population genetic studies. Quantitatively synthesizing these results through metaanalysis is an important first step toward incorporating macroalgal connectivity into the design or adaptive management of MPA networks.

The presence and nature of a significant relation between gene flow and geographic distance, isolation by distance (IBD), is useful in the context of MPA design because it focuses attention on the critical issue of protected areas spacing. This information can then be incorporated into marine reserve models to optimize and maintain MPA connectivity and in turn help inform policy managers (Sala et al. 2002; Fernandes et al. 2005). We conducted the first global meta-analysis of marine macroalgae to test for IBD. We also aimed to establish whether IBD was general across a variety of factors, including habitat, life cycle, higher taxonomic group, and genetic marker type used.

Methods

To assemble the data set of published studies, we performed a literature search using the Web of Science database (Reuters 2012) in February 2012. We searched for pairwise combinations of the keywords *genetic* and *connectivity* with *algae*. The reference lists of these papers were inspected for relevant studies that may have been overlooked in the original search.

We used a meta-analysis to investigate the influence of various traits and study variables on the presence and slope of IBD. Inclusion criteria for studies were that they reported a correlation coefficient between genetic and geographic distance (r) and a sample size (n). Our search resulted in a total of 24 papers. Because some papers included more than one species or discontiguous marine regions, some studies contributed more than one data point, resulting in an overall sample size of 30 data points. The groupings of variables investigated included life cycle (monoecious, dioecious, alternating generations), habitat (intertidal, subtidal), algal division (Rhodophyta, Phaeophyta, Chlorophyta), and the genetic marker type used (amplified fragment length polymorphism [AFLP], microsatellite, and random amplified polymorphic DNA [RAPD]). The latter can affect the outcome of population genetics studies (e.g., Whitlock & McCauley 1999; Burridge et al. 2004; Weersing & Toonen 2009). We first tested the significance of each grouping on the entire data set (life cycle, habitat, division, and genetic marker), and then examined the relative strength of each variable within these groups (i.e., monoecious vs. dioecious vs. alternating generations, intertidal vs. subtidal, red vs. brown vs. green algae, and AFLP vs. microsatellite vs. RAPD).

The meta-analysis was undertaken using MetaWin (Rosenberg et al. 2000). A random effects model was used to calculate mean effect sizes (Hyatt et al. 2003), and bootstrap resampling procedures were used to determine confidence intervals (Rosenberg et al. 2000). A standardized effect size was calculated for each study from the correlation coefficient of IBD and sample size. The total heterogeneity within the data set, Q_{TOTAL} (Hedges & Olkin 1985), was also calculated. Significance values were corrected with sequential Bonferroni adjustments (Rice 1989).

Publication bias can arise when a meta-analysis comprises a large number of studies with significant results because researchers have a tendency to publish significant results over nonsignificant results (Dickersin 1990; Scargle 2000). This bias was tested for in our meta-analysis with a funnel plot, as well as a test of correlation between effect size and sample size (Spearman's rank correlation) (Begg 1994).

Because many studies did not present r and p values for tests of IBD and reported only significance, we also examined the presence of IBD with reported F_{ST} values (a measure of genetic differentiation) and the spatial scale of the study. Although this is not necessarily as robust as the meta-analysis (e.g., no accommodation of publication bias or effect size), it provides a coarse analysis for a greater number of studies. Although there are limitations when using F_{ST} as an index of genetic differentiation, it is a suitable choice in many situations (Jost 2008; Heller & Siegismund 2009). Using F_{ST} values, we calculated Rousset's distance measure for each study $(F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST}))$ (Rousset 1997) and used this in the correlation. A log (x+1) of geographic distance was used in this correlation because multiplicative effects were considered more relevant than additive effects. A transformation of log $(F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST}))+0.05$ was also used in the correlation. Inclusion criteria for studies were that they reported an overall F_{ST} value and were published before 2012. A total of 49 papers met these criteria and, because many assessed population structure within subsets of their study range (e.g., on distinct islands) as well as different species within the one study, our sample size for this analysis increased to 101 data points.

Results

IBD significantly explained macroalgae genetic connectivity across all studies ($Q_{\text{total}} = 585.97$, df = 29, p < 0.05; Table 1). Further, the correlation test between



Figure 1. Relation between genetic distance, $log[(F_{ST}/$ 1- $F_{ST})$ +0.05], and geographic distance, [log (x+1)] $(r^2 = 0.127 p < 0.01)$ in populations of macroalgae.

Figure 2. Relation between each study's effect size and sample size included in the meta-analysis, with testing for publication bias (Pearson's correlation test, $r_s = 0.012$, p = 0.949; mean effect size [E = 0.6249], borizontal line).

genetic and geographic distance showed that a significant positive correlation was evident across the 49 studies $(r^2 = 0.127, p < 0.01;$ Fig. 1). Moreover, IBD was general among macroalgae of different divisions, habitats, life cycles, and studies involving different genetic marker types (p < 0.05 in all cases; Table 1). A comparison of effect sizes within groupings revealed no significant influence of covariate on IBD prevalence between intertidal and subtidal algae species (p = 0.436), among different life cycles (monoecious, dioecious and alternating generations) (p = 0.506), among the genetic markers used across studies (p = 0.358, AFLP, microsatellite, RAPD), or among algal divisions (p = 0.344) (Table 1). This implies that the presence of IBD was independent of the habitat, higher taxa, genetic marker, and life-cycle divisions examined. A Spearman's rank correlation test showed no effect of publication bias ($r_s = 0.012$, p = 0.949, Fig. 2). An F_{ST} in the range of 0.1-0.2 was centered around a geographic scale of 50-100 km (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Genetic and geographic distance for macroalgae were positively correlated. This correlation was prevalent regardless of macroalgal division, habitat, life cycle, and molecular marker surveyed. Macroalgal propagules are generally broadcast spawned and are relatively immotile (limited endogenous capacity for movement); dispersal distances are determined primarily by ocean currents, wave action, and other physical vectors of dispersal (Reed et al. 1992; Gaylord et al. 2002; Gaylord et al. 2004). Reproduction is also often tightly cued to environmental conditions including calm periods, low tide, or slack tides, which increases fertilization success (Pearson & Serrao 2006) but may reduce variance in dispersal distances. These characteristics, which are seen in many macroalgal species, may explain why we found evidence of IBD across a multitude of studies and species in our meta-analysis.

Figure 3. Total number of macroalgae species from all studies grouped into three F_{ST} categories ($F_{ST} > 0.2, 0.2 >$ $F_{ST} > 0.1, F_{ST} < 0.1$, corresponding to their relative geographic distance category to determine the number of studies that showed sufficient gene flow $(0.2 > F_{ST} > 0.1)$.

Table 1. Heterogeneity of each group of life-history variables (column 1) and of each individual life-history variable within groups (column 4) in a meta-analysis of macroalgal population isolation by distance (n = 30 studies).

Group	Q_{TOTAL}	Þ	Variable ^a	Q_{total}	p
Habitat	27.526	0.436	Subtidal	476.43	< 0.01
			Intertidal	327.75	< 0.01
Reproduction	26.23	0.506	Monoecious	58.58	< 0.01
			Dioecious	357.79	< 0.01
			Alternating	292.54	< 0.01
Marker	29.050	0.358	AFLP	79.23	< 0.01
			MSAT	950.98	< 0.01
			RAPD	108.86	< 0.01
Division	29.36	0.344	Red	69.95	< 0.01
			Green	41.62	< 0.01
			Brown	915.18	< 0.01
Total data set			All	585.97	< 0.01

^aAbbreviations: AFLP, amplified fragment length polymorphism; MSAT, microsatellite; RAPD, random amplified polymorphic DNA.

IBD should be considered in assessing spacing of MPAs. For example, the slope of the IBD correlation can be used to determine the maximum distance for MPA spacing such that F_{ST} will not exceed a certain magnitude, for example, the level that corresponds to approximately one migrant per generation ($F_{ST} = 0.2$) or any other predetermined level of genetic differentiation. A network of MPAs with individual protected areas arranged in such a manner to maintain F_{ST} below 0.2 should result in relatively little population differentiation (Slatkin 1987; Wang 2004). The majority of studies we surveyed exhibited $F_{ST} > 0.2$ at spatial scales of less than 50 km (Fig. 3).

Many studies have used similar distance values to those suggested here (50 km) in MPA planning reports and particle modeling (e.g., Roberts et al. 2001; Almany et al. 2009; California Department of Fish and Game [CDFG] 2009). Studies such as these are theoretically based, with some incorporation of information on larval dispersal distances. We derived similar results based on a synthesis of empirical genetic studies of dispersal distances and population connectivity. This is the first time empirical data for macroalgae have been collated and statistically reviewed in the context of MPA design, an important consideration given the fundamental role that habitat-forming algae play in marine community biodiversity. Similar genetic data exist for a wide range of marine species (e.g., Ridgway et al. 2008; Curley & Gillings 2009; Schultz et al. 2011) and could likewise be incorporated into future planning to achieve more informed decisions that consider connectivity. Recently, the importance of empirical studies in MPA management has been emphasized (Botsford et al. 2009).

Meta-analytical approaches have been criticized, including in the MPA literature (Huntington 2011), for failing to account for publication bias, which occurs when primarily positive results are published, providing a biased sample. No significant effect of publication bias was detected in our meta-analysis. However, studies frequently neglected to report r and p values and instead provided a simple statement about whether the IBD was present or absent, a serious failure in statistical reporting (Gerrodette 2011).

The strength of conclusions about source-sink dynamics within MPA networks will be greatly enhanced when different sources of information are cross-validated. In



40

addition to studies of genetic distance, the field of metapopulation dynamics has advanced rapidly in recent years through genetic marker studies and greatly improved particle dispersal models. A recent study using genetic parentage analysis for 2 fish species in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, for example, indicated broad-scale reseeding of adjacent areas from parents living within no-fishing zones (Harrison et al. 2012).

Oceanic particle dispersal modeling provides a further avenue for estimating probabilities of macroalgal gene flow among MPAs and identifying likely source and sink populations (Roberts 1997; Treml et al. 2008; Munday et al. 2009). Through modeling approaches, temporal heterogeneity in dispersal can also be appreciated through hindcasting (Espíndola et al. 2012). Ocean models are, however, rarely accurate in shallow nearshore waters (Roberts 1997; Bode et al. 2006) and should be validated with biological data. In combination, genetic distance information, genetic marker information, and oceanic models incorporating seasonal variability and climate change allow key areas for future MPA protection to be identified, ultimately permitting increased species persistence through time (Munday et al. 2009).

Our finding of an overall pattern of IBD in macroalgae suggests that the spatial distribution of MPAs and unprotected populations is likely to be critical in determining the level of connectivity of macroalgae populations within MPA networks. Given increasing anthropogenic stressors, networks of MPAs should be designed with the intention to maintain dispersal among a network of similar areas and, in addition, serve as sources of genetic material for areas not afforded protection. We found a high proportion of macroalgae displayed $F_{ST} > 0.2$ even at a scale of 0-50 km, indicating that MPAs spaced >50km apart may be susceptible to genetic isolation. This finding is consistent with modeling studies (e.g., Roberts et al. 2001; Almany et al. 2009; Moffitt et al. 2011). Data obtained from collating IBD values across a range of species can be incorporated in MPA models and help improve the decision making process for MPA managers. This will contribute to the formation of representative and adequately spaced marine reserve networks.

Acknowledgments

Our research was funded by an Australian Research Council linkage Grant awarded to G.J.E. and postgraduate scholarship funding from the University of Tasmania.

Supporting Information

A summary of studies used in the meta-analysis (Appendix S1) and correlation between genetic and geographic distance (Appendix S2) are available online. The authors are solely responsible for the content and functionality of these materials. Queries, other than the absence of materials, should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Literature Cited

- Almany, G. R., S. R. Connolly, D. D. Heath, J. D. Hogan, G. P. Jones, L. J. McCook, M. Mills, R. L. Pressey, and D. H. Williamson. 2009. Connectivity, biodiversity conservation and the design of marine reserve networks for coral reefs. Coral Reefs 28:339-351.
- Babcock, R. C., N. T. Shears, A. C. Alcala, N. S. Barrett, G. J. Edgar, K. D. Lafferty, T. R. McClanahan, and G. R. Russ. 2010. Decadal trends in marine reserves reveal differential rates of change in direct and indirect effects. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107:18256–18261.
- Begg, C. B. 1994. Publication bias. Pages 399-409 in H. Cooper and L. V. Hedges, editors. The handbook of research synthesis. Russell Sage Foundation, New York.
- Billot, C., C. R. Engel, S. Rousvoal, B. Kloareg, and M. Valero. 2003. Current patterns, habitat discontinuities and population genetic structure: the case of the kelp Laminaria digitata in the English Channel. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 253:111-121.
- Bode, M., L. Bode, and P. R. Armsworth. 2006. Larval dispersal reveals regional sources and sinks in the Great Barrier Reef. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 308:17–25.
- Botsford, L. W., J. W. White, M. A. Coffroth, C. B. Paris, S. Planes, T. L. Shearer, S. R. Thorrold, and G. P. Jones. 2009. Connectivity and resilience of coral reef metapopulations in marine protected areas: matching empirical efforts to predictive needs. Coral Reefs 28:327– 337.
- Burridge, C. P., A. C. Hurt, L. W. Farrington, P. C. Coutin, and C. M. Austin. 2004. Stepping stone gene flow in an estuarine-dwelling sparid from south-east Australia. Journal of Fish Biology 64:805– 819.
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2009. California Marine Life Protection Act Master Plan for Marine Protected Areas. Sacramento, California. Available from http://www.dfg.ca. gov/marine/mpa/masterplan.asp (accessed April 2013).
- Coleman, F. C., and S. L. Williams. 2002. Overexploiting marine ecosystem engineers: potential consequences for biodiversity. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17:40-44.
- Coleman, M. A., and S. H. Brawley. 2005. Spatial and temporal variability in dispersal and population genetic structure of a rockpool alga. Marine Ecology Progress Series 300:63–77.
- Coleman, M. A., B. P. Kelaher, P. D. Steinberg, and A. J. K. Millar. 2008. Absence of a large brown macroalga on urbanized rocky reefs around Sydney, Australia, and evidence for historical decline. Journal of Phycology 44:897–901.
- Curley, B. G., and M. R. Gillings. 2009. Population connectivity in the temperate damselfish Parma microlepis: analyses of genetic structure across multiple spatial scales. Marine Biology 156:381-393.
- Dayton, P. K. 1985. Ecology of kelp communities. Annual Review Ecology Systematics 16:215-245.
- Dayton, P. K., and M. J. Tegner. 1984. Catastrophic storms, El-Nino, and patch stability in a southern-California kelp community. Science 224:283–285.
- Dickersin, K. 1990. The existence of publication bias and risk-factors for its occurence. JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association **263:**1385–1389.
- Espíndola, A., L. Pellissier, L. Maiorano, W. Hordijk, A. Guisan, and N. Alvarez. 2012. Predicting present and future intra-specific genetic structure through niche hindcasting across 24 millennia. Ecology Letters 15:649-657.
- Fernandes, L., et al. 2005. Establishing representative no-take areas in the Great Barrier Reef: large-scale implementation of theory on marine protected areas. Conservation Biology 19:1733-1744.

- Gaylord, B., D. C. Reed, P. T. Raimondi, L. Washburn, and S. R. McLean. 2002. A physically based model of macroalgal spore dispersal in the wave and current-dominated nearshore. Ecology 83:1239–1251.
- Gaylord, B., D. C. Reed, L. Washburn, and P. T. Raimondi. 2004. Physicalbiological coupling in spore dispersal of kelp forest macroalgae. Journal of Marine Systems 49:19–39.
- Gerrodette, T. 2011. Inference without significance: measuring support for hypotheses rather than rejecting them. Marine Ecology: An Evolutionary Perspective **32:**404–418.
- Harley, C. D. G., A. Randall Hughes, K. M. Hultgren, B. G. Miner, C. J. B. Sorte, C. S. Thornber, L. F. Rodriguez, L. Tomanek, and S. L. Williams. 2006. The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems. Ecology Letters 9:228–241.
- Harrison, H. B., et al. 2012. Larval export from marine reserves and the recruitment benefit for fish and fisheries. Current Biology 22:1023– 1028.
- Hedges, L. V., and I. Olkin. 1985. Statistical methods for meta-analysis. Academic Press, New York.
- Heller, R., and H. R. Siegismund. 2009. Relationship between three measures of genetic differentiation GST, DEST and G'ST: How wrong have we been? Molecular Ecology 18:2080-2083.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. 2004. Coral reefs in a century of rapid environmental change. Symbiosis 37:1–31.
- Huntington, B. E. 2011. Confronting publication bias in marine reserve meta-analyses. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 9: 375-376.
- Hyatt, L. A., et al. 2003. The distance dependence prediction of the Janzen-Connell hypothesis: a meta-analysis. Oikos 103:590– 602.
- IUCN World Commission on Protected Areas (IUCN-WPCA). 2008. Establishing Resilient Marine Protected Area Networks – Making it happen: IUCN-WPCA. National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration & The Nature Conservancy 118.
- Johnson, C. R., et al. 2011. Climate change cascades: shifts in oceanography, species' ranges and subtidal marine community dynamics in eastern Tasmania. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **400:**17–32.
- Jones, G. P., M. Srinivasan, and G. R. Almany. 2007. Population connectivity and conservation of marine biodiversity. Oceanography 20:100-111.
- Jost, L. O. U. 2008. GST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. Molecular Ecology **17:**4015-4026.
- Kelly, R. P., and S. R. Palumbi. 2010. Genetic structure among 50 species of the Northeastern Pacific rocky intertidal community. Plos One 5:e8594.
- Kusumo, H., C. Pfister, and J. Wootton. 2006. Small-scale genetic structure in the sea palm Postelsia palmaeformis Ruprecht (Phaeophyceae). Marine Biology 149:731-742.
- Loveless, M. D., and J. L. Hamrick. 1984. Ecological determinants of genetic structure in plant populations. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 15:65-95.
- Mann, K. H. 1973. Seaweeds- their productivity and strategy for growth. Science 182:975–981.
- McLeod, E., R. Salm, A. Green, and J. Almany. 2009. Designing marine protected area networks to address the impacts of climate change. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 7:362–370.
- Micheli, F., A. Saenz-Arroyo, A. Greenley, L. Vazquez, J. A. E. Montes, M. Rossetto, and G. A. De Leo. 2012. Evidence that marine reserves enhance resilience to climatic impacts. Plos One 7:e40832.
- Mislan, K. A. S., and R. C. Babcock. 2008. Survival and behaviour of juvenile red rock lobster, Jasus edwardsii, on rocky reefs with varying predation pressure and habitat complexity. Marine and Freshwater Research 59:246–253.
- Moffitt, E. A., J. W. White, and L. W. Botsford. 2011. The utility and limitations of size and spacing guidelines for designing marine protected area (MPA) networks. Biological Conservation 144:306–318.

- Munday, P. L., J. M. Leis, J. M. Lough, C. B. Paris, M. J. Kingsford, M. L. Berumen, and J. Lambrechts. 2009. Climate change and coral reef connectivity. Coral Reefs 28:379–395.
- Palumbi, S. R. 1994. Genetic-divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine speciation. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 25:547– 572.
- Palumbi, S. R. 2004. Marine reserves and ocean neighborhoods: the spatial scale of marine populations and their management. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 29:31–68.
- Pearson, G. A., and E. A. Serrao. 2006. Revisiting synchronous gamete release by fucoid algae in the intertidal zone: Fertilization success and beyond? Integrative and Comparative Biology 46:587-597.
- Poloczanska, E. S., et al. 2007. Climate change and Australian marine life. Pages 407-478 in R. N. Gibson, R. J. A. Atkinson, and J. D. M. Gordon, editors. Oceanography and marine biology, Vol. 45. CRC Press-Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Reed, D. C., C. D. Amsler, and A. W. Ebeling. 1992. Dispersal in kelps: factors affecting spore swimming and competency. Ecology 73:1577-1585.
- Reuters, T. 2012. ISI Web of Knowledge. Thomson Reuters, New York (accessed February 26, 2012).
- Rice, W. R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43:223– 225.
- Ridgway, T., C. Riginos, J. Davis, and O. Hoegh-Guldberg. 2008. Genetic connectivity patterns of Pocillopora verrucosa in southern African Marine Protected Areas. Marine Ecology Progress Series (Halstenbek) 354:161–168.
- Roberts, C. M. 1997. Connectivity and management of Caribbean coral reefs. Science 278:1454-1457.
- Roberts, C. M., et al. 2003. Application of ecological criteria in selecting marine reserves and developing reserve networks. Ecological Applications 13:S215–S228.
- Roberts, C. M., B. Halpern, S. R. Palumbi, and R. R. Warner. 2001. Designing marine reserve networks why small, isolated protected areas are not enough. Conservation in Practice 2:10–17.
- Rosenberg, M. S., D. C. Adams, and J. Gurevitch. 2000. Metawin: statistical software for meta-analysis "version 2.0." Sinauer Associates Incorporated, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Rousset, F. 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance. Genetics 145:1219-1228.
- Sala, E., O. Aburto-Oropeza, G. Paredes, I. Parra, J. C. Barrera, and P. K. Dayton. 2002. A general model for designing networks of marine reserves. Science 298:1991–1993.
- Salm, R. V., T. Done, and E. McLeod. 2006. Marine protected area planning in a changing climate. Coral Reefs and Climate Change, Science and Management, Washington, D.C.
- Santelices, B. 1990. Patterns of reproduction, dispersal and recruitment in seaweeds. Oceanography and Marine Biology 28:177– 276.
- Scargle, J. 2000. Publication bias: the "file-drawer" problem in scientific inference. Journal of Scientific Exploration 14:91–106.
- Schultz, J. K., J. D. Baker, R. J. Toonen, A. L. Harting, and B. W. Bowen. 2011. Range-wide genetic connectivity of the Hawaiian monk seal and implications for translocation. Conservation Biology 25:124– 132.
- Shanks, A. L., B. A. Grantham, and M. H. Carr. 2003. Propagule dispersal distance and the size and spacing of marine reserves. Ecological Applications 13:159-169.
- Slatkin, M. 1987. Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. Science **236**:787–792.
- Steneck, R. S., M. H. Graham, B. J. Bourque, D. Corbett, J. M. Erlandson, J. A. Estes, and M. J. Tegner. 2002. Kelp forest ecosystems: biodiversity, stability, resilience and future. Environmental Conservation 29:436-459.

- Thibaut, T., S. Pinedo, X. Torras, and E. Ballesteros. 2005. Long-term decline of the populations of Fucales (*Cystoseira* spp. and *Sargassum* spp.) in the Albères coast (France, North-western Mediterranean). Marine Pollution Bulletin **50**:1472–1489.
- Treml, E. A., P. N. Halpin, D. L. Urban, and L. F. Pratson. 2008. Modeling population connectivity by ocean currents, a graph-theoretic approach for marine conservation. Landscape Ecology 23:19– 36.
- Tsukidate, J. 1984. Studies on the regenerative ability of brow-algae, Sargassum-muticum (Yendo) fensholt and Sargassum Agargh, C. Hydrobiologia 116:393-397.
- Wang, J. L. 2004. Application of the one-migrant-per-generation rule to conservation and management. Conservation Biology 18:332-343.

- Weersing, K., and R. J. Toonen. 2009. Population genetics, larval dispersal, and connectivity in marine systems. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 393:1–12.
- Wernberg, T., et al. 2012. Macroalgae and temperate rocky reefs. Pages 185-208 in E. S. Poloczanska, A. J. Hobday, and A. J. Richardson, editors. Marine climate change in Australia: impacts and adaptation responses 2012. CSIRO Publishing, Australia.
- Wernberg, T., M. S. Thomsen, F. Tuya, G. A. Kendrick, P. A. Staehr, and B. D. Toohey. 2010. Decreasing resilience of kelp beds along a latitudinal temperature gradient: potential implications for a warmer future. Ecology Letters 13:685-694.
- Whitlock, M. C., and D. E. McCauley. 1999. Indirect measures of gene flow and migration: Fst≠1/(4Nm+1). Heredity 82:117-125.

Conservation Biology Volume 28, No. 2, 2014